

1st Half Yearly Monitoring Final Report 2014-15 of MANIPURUNIVERSITY (Monitoring Institute Sarva Shiksha Abhiyan, Manipur University) MI/SSA/MU

Nodal Officer
DR. L. LEIREN SINGH
Department of Education,
Manipur University

On
MDM for the State of
MANIPUR

Period: 1st April, 2014 to 30th September, 2014

District Covered Churachandpur and Thoubal Districts

2014-15

FINAL REPORT ON MDM MONITORING AND SUPERVISION

Period : 1st April, 2014 to 30th September, 2014

Name of State : Manipur

Name of MI : MI/SSA-RTE/MDM

Department of Education,

Manipur University, Canchipur

Districts : Churachandpur and Thoubal Districts

Name of Districts Visited:

Name of Districts	Total No. of School	No. of Schools visited
Churachandpur District	582	40
Thoubal District	575	40

Source: http://schoolreportcards.in 2014



CONTENT

1	Foreword	Page-No
2	Acknowledgement	
3	Map of the Sample District	
	Churachandpur District Map	
	Thoubal District Map	
4	General Information of Churachandpur and Thoubal Districts	
5	Churachandpur District	1-10
6	Thoubal District	11-20
7	Executive Summary of MDM Churachandpur District	21-24
8	Executive Summary of MDM Thoubal District	25-28
9	Overall Performance Score Card of the two districts	29-30
10	Charts giving detailed information about various MDM intervention	31-33
11	Photo Plates Churachandpur District (Annexure I)	34-34
12	Photo Plates Thoubal District (Annexure II)	35-36
13	List of sample Schools Churachandpur District with DISE Code (Annexure III)	37-37
14	List of sample Schools Thoubal District with DISE Code (Annexure IV)	38-38

MANIPUR UNIVERSITY CANCHIPUR: IMPHAL

FOREWORD

The Department of Education, Manipur University, Canchipur, the Monitoring

Institute (MI) in-charge of Monitoring Mid-Day-Meal of 2 (two) districts of Churachandpur

and Thoubal districts of the state of Manipur feel privileged to be one of the Monitoring

Institutions across the country for the broad based monitoring of SSA, MDM and RTE 2009

activities.

This is the 2nd year 1st Half year's report of the year 2014-2015 and is based on the

field data collected from the 2(two) districts of Manipur one each from the hill and valley

areas of Manipur namely Churachandpur district and Thoubal district of Manipur. This

district is the most educationally backward valley district of Manipur at present.

I hope the findings of the report would be helpful to both the Government of India and

the State of Manipur to understand grassroot level problems as well as achievement and

functioning of SSA, MDM and RTE in the state and to plan further necessary interventions.

In this context, I extend my heartily thanks to Dr. L. Leiren Singh, Nodal Officer,

Monitoring Institute, SSA, MDM and RTE and his team members who have rendered a good

service by taking pains to visit the schools located in the most inaccessible areas and

preparing the report in time. I am also extremely thankful to the authorities of state office and

district offices for their unhesitating co-operation during the time of data collection.

Canchipur The

(Prof. N. Lokendra Singh) Registrar, Manipur University,

Canchipur, Imphal

31

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION MANIPUR UNIVERSITY, CANCHIPUR

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This MDM report would not have been possible without the active support of the State Project Office for the State Manipur and the district offices in respect of the districts of Churachandpur and Thoubal visited by the Monitoring Team. We thank the State Project Director, SSA-RTE and the District Project Coordinators of SSA-RTE of the 2 (two) districts for their cooperation.

Our heartfelt thanks are due to the all the officials of SSA-RTE and Education Director (S), Government of Manipur who helped the monitoring team in conducting field visit and to all the Headmasters and teachers in the sample schools visited who provided us with relevant information. We also thank all others who have cooperated in the Monitoring and Supervision work of Churachandpur and Thoubal districts of Manipur.

We are also thankful to Government of India Officials, Additional Secretary (SE&L), Joint Secretary, Deputy Secretary, Under Secretary, Director MDM, Department of School Education & Literacy, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Shastri Bhawan, C Wing, Room No. 405, New Delhi for providing an opportunity to undertake monitoring activities of MDM as an intervention of SSA-RTE and providing funds for the 1st 6 (six) months of the 1st half yearly report of Churachandpur and Thoubal districts of Manipur for the period 1st April, 2014 to 30th September 2014.


We are also thankful to Senior Consultant (Monitoring) SSA, Ed. CIL (India) Limited, Technical Support Group, SSA-MDM, Vijaya Building, 5th Floor, 17-Barakhamba Road, New Delhi for looking after the Monitoring Institution activities and their staffs for continuous support and valuable guidance from time to time throughout the monitoring period.

We are also thankful to the Project Manager (SSA-MDM), Ed. CIL (India) Limited, Technical Support Group, Sarva Shiksha Abhiyan, Vijaya Building, 5th Floor, 17- Barakhamba Road, New Delhi for timely release of funds from time to time.


Canchipur The 15th January, 2014 (Dr. L. Leiren Singh)

Nodal Officer, SSA-MDM, MI,
Department of Education,
Manipur University – Canchipur.

MAP OF CHURACHANDPUR DISTRICT



MAP OF THOUBAL DISTRICT



General Information

1st Half Yearly Monitoring Report of (Monitoring Institution) on SSA-RTE for the State of Manipur for the period of 1st April, 2014 to 30th September, 2014.

	Subject	Details
1.	Name of the monitoring institution	Monitoring Institute Sarva Shiksha
1.	rame of the monitoring mattution	Abhiyan, Manipur University,
		Canchipur.
2.	Period of the report	1st April to 30th September 2014
3.	No. of Districts allocated	
		2 (two)
4.	District names	Churachandpur and Thoubal
5.	Month of visit to the Districts /blocks	61.0 201.1
	District 1 Churachandpur	6th Oct to 29th Nov 2014
	District 2 Thoubal	4th August to 27 September 2014
6.	MI selected the schools as per the criteria : Yes/No	Yes
	(Ref: As per the ToR 2013-15 point 4 (iii) under scale of work)	N. 1 1
	(i) Higher gender gap in enrolment	No such observation
	(ii) Higher population of SC/ST students,	ST (Churachandpur)
	(iii) Low retention rate and higher dropout rate	No
	(iv) The School has a minimum of three CWSN	-
	(v) The habitation where the school is located at has	No
	sizeable number of OOSC	
	(vi) The habitations where the school is located at	No
	witnesses in bound and out bound seasonal	
	migration,	
	(vii) The ward/unit of planning where the school is	No
	located at is known to have sizeable number of	
	urban deprived children	
	(viii) The school is located in a forest or far flung area	Yes (Churachandpur)
	(ix) The habitation where the school is located at	No
	witnesses recurrent floods or some other natural	
	calamity	
	(x) Pupil Teacher Ratio (PTR) at school level	Both districts have lower PTR with
	•	reference to RTE Act 2009.
7.	Types of Schools visited as per the ToR 2013-15: Y	es/No
	(Ref: As per the ToR 2013-15 point 4(iv) under scale of	(work)
	(i) 8 schools from urban areas visited Yes/No	No (4 schools from Churachandpur)
	(ii) if yes write the number	(7 schools from Thoubal)
	(iii) 6 schools from Special Training Centers	1 Residential (Churachandpur)
	(3 residential and 3 non-residential) visited :	1 Residential (Thoubal)
	Yes/No	, ,
	(iv) if yes write the number	
	(v) 2 schools from civil works sanctioned Yes/No	8 schools from Churachandpur
	(vi) if yes write the number	
	(vii) 2 schools from NPEGEL blocks Yes/No	No (No NPEGEL schools in both
	(viii) if yes write the number	the districts)
	(ix) 3 schools from CWSN (priority to those having	11 Schools for Churachandpur
	other than Orthopaedic Impairment (OI children)	17 Schools for Thoubal
	Yes/ No	17 Schools for Thoubar
	1 05/ 140	

	(x) if y	ves write the number		
	(xi) 3 s	schools from Computer Aided Learn	ning	3 CAL schools for Churachandpur
	(C	AL) and KGBV scheme	Yes/No	3 CAL schools for Thoubal
	(xii) if y	ves write the number		1 KGBV from Churachandpur
	(xiii) 3 s	schools from KGBV scheme	Yes/No	Yes
	(xiv) if y	ves write the number		2 schools one each for both districts

0	The selection of schools (for all the districts to 1)	Vac	
8.	The selection of schools (for all the districts to be	Yes	
	monitored) shall be done on the basis of the latest		
	school report card generated through DISE, HHS data		
	and consultation with the district SSA functionaries:		
	Yes/No Ref: TOR 2013-15 point 4(v) under scale of work (The		
	procedure and criteria adopted, for the selection of schools shall from an essential part of the MIs report.)		
	Total number of elementary schools in each district allocation	cated Informat	ion is to be obtained
	from SPO/DPO office.	cutcu. Informa	ion is to be obtained
9.	District 1: Churachandpur	40	
	District 2 : Thoubal	40	
	Number of elementary schools (primary and upper prim	_	nonitored
10.	District 1 : Churachandpur	Primary: 29	
10.	<u> </u>	•	Upper Primary: 11
	District 2 : Thoubal	Primary: 18	Upper Primary: 22
	Number of elementary schools visited by Nodal	40 (forty) sch	
	Officer of the Monitoring Institute		ur and 35 (thirty five)
	[Ref: As per the MoU 2013-15 signed between MI and MHRD as per point 3 (vi) (the Nodal Officer must visit himself/herself at least one third	schools from	Thoubal district.
	of the selected schools in every block of 6 months, and make a mention		
1.1	in the report to be submitted to TSG/MHRD)](It means the Nodal		
11.	officer has to visit 13 Schools)Kindly mention the no of schools		
	visited by the Nodal officer and in the list of schools enclosed for		
	each district wise kindly mention in which schools the nodal		
	officer has visited).	40	
	District 1 : Churachandpur	40	
	District 2 : Thoubal	40	1 2014)
10	Whether the MI has sent their report to the SPO at the	Yes (dt.26 L	December, 2014)
12.	draft level: YES / NO (Ref: TOR 2013-15 point 5(i)		
	under Reports)	st	
	After submission of the draft report to the SPO office	Yes (dt. 31 st)	December, 2014)
13.	whether the MI has received any comments from the		
13.	SPO office: YES / NO (Ref: TOR 2013-15 point 5(ii)		
	under Reports)		
	Before sending the reports to the GOI whether the MI	Yes (dt. 31 st I	December, 2014)
14.	has shared the report with SPO: YES / NO (Ref: TOR		
	2013-15 point 5(iii & iv) under Reports)		
	Items to be attached with the report		
1.5	a) List of Schools with DISE code visited by MI and	Yes	
15.	list of schools visited by the Nodal Officer		
	b) Any other relevant documents (only circulars	Yes	
	/Amendments /Notices)		
		I.	

MID-DAY MEAL (MDM) PROGRAMME

CHURACHANDPUR DISTRICT

The Analytical Report on the following aspects of the programme implementation based on the empirical evidence relating to the indicators are given below: -

1. AT THE SCHOOL LEVEL

Table 1.1 Availability of food-grains:

Availability		N=38	%
No Buffer stock		38	100
Delay delivering	< 3 months	1	2.63
	No delay	34	89.47
	No response	3	7.89
Lifting Agency	By ZEO	30	78.95
	By SMC/HM	8	21.05

Table 1.1 reveals that 100% of the 38 (thirty eight) sample schools visited have no buffer stock for Mid-Day Meal (MDM), 2.63% of the sample schools visited have the experience of delay in delivering the food-grains less than 3 (three) months and 89.47% of the sample schools visited received food-grains timely from the concerned authorities during the monitoring period. The 7.89% of the sample schools which gave no responses about the delay of food-grains at the time of receiving it from the concerned authorities are - Charoi Khullen Govt JB/S, Gamnom P/S and P.Sejol Govt JB/S. 78.95% of the sample schools visited received the food-grains which are delivered at the door steps of the schools by the Zonal Education Office, while 21.05% of the head teachers of sample schools visited reported that they collected the food grains from nearby block or collection centres. The schools are - Charoi Khullen Govt JB/S, Gamnom P/S, P.Sejol Govt JB/S, Belpaun P/S, Luihoimau kham P/S, M. Bongmol JB/S, Pantha Aided P/S and Zalenphai UP/S.

Table 1.2 Timely Release of funds and cooking costs:

Mode of funds	Released	N=38	%
Mode of Funds release	Delay	2	5.26
	No delay	36	94.74
Mode of Cooking Costs	Delay	2	5.26

released	No delay	36	94.74

Table 1.2 reveals that 5.26% of the sample schools visited experienced 3 (three) months delay in releasing funds and cooking costs to the schools during the monitoring period, i.e. Dongjang Govt JB/S and V. Munlai P/S. While 94.74% of the sample schools visited received funds and cooking costs to the schools timely during the monitoring period,

Table 1.3 Availability of cook-cum-helpers

Information of Coo	k-cum-helpers	N=38	%
Single cook-cum-helper		10	26.32
Two cook-cum-help	ers	20	52.63
> two Cook-cum-he	lpers	8	21.05
Total Cook-cum-hel	pers	75	-
Male cooks		3	4.00
Female cooks		72	96.00
Category	GEN	0	0
	ST	38	100
	SC	0	0
	Others	0	0
Engagement of	Department	10	26.32
Cook-cum- helpers on the	SMC/VEC	24	63.16
recommendation	Local Chief	1	2.63
of:	No Response	3	7.89

Table 1.3 reveals that 26.32% of the sample schools visited have single cook-cumhelper. The schools are - Belpaun P/S, Luihoimaul cham P/S, Zalenphai UP/S, K. Salbung P/S, Louchulbung LP/S, S. Geltui P/S, Salbung P/S, Lamdan P/S (ADC), Zomi Zion LP/S and Saichang LP/S. Again, 52.63% of the sample schools have two cook-cum-helpers. While 21.05% of the sample schools visited have more than 2 (two) cook-cum-helpers. The sample schools are - Dongjang Govt JB/S, Vumhao Govt H/S, Rani Gaidinliu Jr. H/S Aided, Ritinchung Aided Jr. H/S, Singnhat Standard H/S (Aided), V. Munlai P/S, Chingphei Jr. H/S and M.B. Saichang JB/S (ADC). Among the 38 (thirty-eight) sample schools visited, there are 75 (seventy five) cook-cum-helpers, out of which 4% are male cook-cum-helpers and 96% are female cook-cum-helpers. *The sample schools having male cook-cum-helpers are* - V. Munlai P/S, Chingphei Jr. H/S and M.B. Saichang JB/S (ADC) *and the male cooks were*

engaged by SMC after obtaining approval from ZEO, Churachandpur. But, engagements of Male cook-cum-helpers are against the norm of GOI. All the sample schools visited have ST category cook-cum-helpers.

But, 63.16% of the sample schools have cook-cum-helpers engaged by the SMC. 2.63% of the sample schools have cook-cum-helpers engaged on the recommendation of Local Chief, i.e. Salbung P/S. 26.32 % of the sample schools visited have cook-cum-helpers engaged after obtaining approval of the Zonal Education Officer, i.e. K. Salbung P/S, Louchulbung LP/S, P.Sejol Govt JB/S, M. Bongmol JB/S, Pantha Aided P/S, Seilal JB/S, Tangpijol JB/S, Rani Gaidinliu Jr. H/S (Aided), Singnhat Standard H/S (Aided). 7.89% of the sample schools head teachers gave no responses regarding the mode of engagement of cook-cum-helpers in their schools. Such no response sample schools create mistrust and lack of transparency on the proper functioning and implementing of MDM scheme in those sample schools. The sample schools are - Zomi Zion LP/S, Lamdan Kuki P/S and Sangaikot JB/S. In all the sample schools visited, the cook-cum-helpers have no training in any activity given by the concerned authorities till the monitoring period regarding MDM scheme and also no health-checkup of the cook-cum-helpers. It is also confirmed that there is irregular payment of cook-cum-helpers' honorarium and no proper maintenance of Cooks' Honorarium Registers.

Table 1.4 Regularity in Serving Meal in a month

No. of Days MDM meal served	N=38	%
1 days served	8	21.05
2 days served	4	10.53
3 -5 days served	10	26.32
6-10 days served	9	23.68
Above 10 days served	7	18.42

Table 1.4 reveals 21.05% of the sample schools visited served MDM meals for a single day in a month. The sample schools are - V. Munlai P/S, Sangaikot JB/S, Zalenphai UP/S, Gamnom P/S, Tingkeu Jr H/S, Keirap Khullen P/S, M.B. Saichang JB/S (ADC) and P.Sejol Govt JB/S. 10.53% of the sample schools visited served MDM meals for 2 days in a month, whereas 26.32% of sample schools visited served MDM meals for 3-5 days in a month. But, 23.68% of the sample schools visited served MDM meals for 6-10 days in a month. While 18.42% of the sample schools served MDM meals for more than 10 days in a month. The sample schools under this category are - Rani Gaidinliu Jr. H/S (Aided), Charoi

Khullen Govt JB/S, Khumjamba Jr H/S, Thaenjang JB/S, Ritinchung Aided Jr. H/S, Chingphei Jr. H/S and Louchulbung LP/S.

Table 1.5 Quality and Quantity of Meal

Information		N=38	%
Quality	Good	30	78.95
	Average	6	15.79
	Poor	2	5.26
Quantity	Adequate	-	-
	Inadequate	38	100

Table 1.5 reveals that 78.95% of the sample schools received good quality food-grains from the concerned authorities for serving MDM during the monitoring period and 15.79% of the sample school visited received average quality food-grains from the concerned authorities for serving MDM. But, 5.26% of the sample schools visited received poor quality food-grains from the concerned authorities during the monitoring period, i.e. S. Geltui P/S and Tangpijol JB/S. Again, 100% of the sample schools visited reported that the food-grains received by the schools authorities were inadequate. Monitoring team also found the reasons for such inadequate quantity of food-grains supply to the schools were because of their calculation of number of students based on previous year enrolled students' strength which are comparatively lower than the current year students' enrollment. None of the sample schools have properly recorded and maintained accounts of the quantities of the food-grains received from the concerned district authorities. Documentation of the MDM Yellow Meal Card was also not made by the sample schools.

Table 1.6 Variety of Menu served

Mode of decision taken for Menu		N=38	%
Deciding Authority	Head teacher	18	47.37
	SMC/VEC	9	23.68
	Teaching staff	3	7.89
	Cook	8	21.05
Display of Menu Chart	Displayed	0	
	Not Displayed	38	100

Uniformly followed	Followed	0	
menu chart	Not following the menu chart	38	100

Table 1.6 depicts mode of decision taken for the variety of menu. 47.37% of the sample schools visited decided the menu of MDM by the head teachers, 23.68% of the sample schools visited decided the menu of MDM by SMC/VEC authorities, 7.89% of the sample schools visited decided the menu of MDM by staffs or teachers, i.e. M.B. Saichang JB/S (ADC), Tuining Govt. Jr H/S and M. Bongmol JB/S. 7.89% of the sample schools visited decided the menu by the cooks of the schools i.e. Keirap Khullen P/S, P.Sejol Govt JB/S, Pantha Aided P/S, Dongjang Govt JB/S, Bongmol UP/S, Zomi Zion LP/S, Sadhu Khoiroi P/S (ADC) and Louchulbung LP/S. 100% of the sample schools visited serving MDM have not displayed MDM weekly menu charts and they are not uniformly following the Menu Chart prescribed by the concerned authorities during the monitoring period. The monitoring team also recorded comments from the SMC/VEC/Head Teachers that they could not follow the menu chart because of the differences in food habits of the learners.

Table 1.7 Trends of variation

Trends		N=38	%
No. of students present	1-50	14	36.84
during MI visit	51-100	1	2.63
	Above 100	4	10.53
	No response	19	50.00
MDM served during	Yes	19	50.00
MI visit	No	19	50.00
Display of MDM logo	Yes	-	-
at kitchen	No	38	100

Table 1.7 reveals that 36.84% of the sample schools visited have 1-50 students present during MI visit. But, Charoi Khullen Govt JB/S, Khumjamba Jr H/S, Louchulbung LP/S, Lamdan P/S (ADC) and S. Geltui P/S have learners less than 10 (ten) on the day of MI visit. 2.63% of the sample schools visited have 51-100 students present during MI visit, i.e. Tuining Govt. Jr H/S. 10.53% of the sample schools visited have students above 100 present during MI visit, i.e. Sangaikot JB/S, Vumhao Govt H/S, Singnhat Standard H/S (aided) and

M.B. Saichang JB/S (ADC). 50% of the sample schools have no students present on the day of MI visit. The reason for no student present on the day of M.I. team visit is due to the fact that the monitoring period happened to be harvesting holidays. 50% of the sample schools visited served the MDM meals on the day of MI visit. While 50% of the sample schools had not served MDM meals on the day of MI visit due to harvesting holidays. The schools are – Keirap Khullen P/S, Pantha Aided P/S, Zomi Zion LP/S, Sadhu Khoiroi P/S (ADC), Tingkeu Jr H/S, Seilal JB/S, Sialna JB/S ADC, K. Salbung P/S, Hengken JB/S, Rani Gaidinliu Jr. H/S Aided, Thaenjang JB/S, Ritinchung Aided Jr. H/S, Tangpijol JB/S, V. Munlai P/S, Gamnom P/S, Belpaun P/S, Lamdan Kuki P/S, Saichang LP/S and Zalenphai UP/S. None of the sample schools displayed the MDM logo at the kitchen during the monitoring period. There is a need of orientation for the head teachers /SMC/VEC members regarding the display of MDM logo and the Weekly Menu Chart at appropriate places after supplying them from the concerned authorities.

Table 1.8 Social Equity

Social Equity		N=38	%
Serving and Seating	Floor	19	50.00
arrangement for eating	Bench and Desk	16	42.11
	Both	3	7.89
Discrimination	Yes	-	-
	No	38	100
School Health Programme	Maintained	-	-
	Not Maintained	38	100
	Not Updated	-	-
Drinking Water	Yes	38	100
	No	-	-
Sanitation Programme	Yes	-	-
	No	38	100
Other Schemes	Yes	-	-
	No	38	100

Table 1.8 reveals that 50% of the sample schools visited served the MDM on floor arrangements for eating purposes, 42.11% of the sample schools visited served meals on bench-desk arrangements for eating purposes. While 7.89% of the sample schools served meals on floor and bench-desk according to the choice of the learners. The sample schools under such category are - Charoi Khullen Govt JB/S, Tingkeu Jr H/S and Ritinchung Aided

Jr. H/S. All the sample schools have been provided with drinking water facilities to the school, but the monitoring team could not guarantee that the provided drinking water are safe for health and none of the concerned authorities had certified these drinking water are fit and safe for drinking to the school children. There is no discrimination amongst the students of the sample schools during the time of sitting and eating MDM meals. None of the sample schools visited maintained School Health Cards, Proper sanitation programme as well as other schemes from local area development fund of MLA or departmental schemes.

Table 1.9 Availability of MDM Infrastructure

Infrastructure		N=38	%
Present trends of	No kitchen	35	92.11
Kitchen	Kuccha Kitchen	3	7.89
	Pucca kitchen and NBM Kitchen	0	0
	Staffroom kitchen	0	0
Storage bin	Yes	-	-
	No	38	100.00
Cooking Utensils	Adequate	10	26.32
	Inadequate	28	73.68
Serving utensils,	Adequate	24	63.16
plates, glasses.	Inadequate	14	36.84
Fire Extinguishers	Yes	19	50.00
	No	19	50.00
Cooking Fuel	Firewood	38	100.00
	Others	-	

Table 1.9 reveals that 92.11% of the sample schools visited are schools without kitchen sheds for preparing the MDM meals. It is a big challenge to the concerned authorities that without kitchens how far the MDM scheme will have to function properly. There is a need for special inquiry into these matters jointly by the concerned state and central authorities for timely intervention. Among the sample schools, 7.89% of the sample schools have kutcha kitchens for temporary measures. The sample schools are - Charoi Khullen Govt JB/S, Lamdan P/S (ADC) and Singnhat Standard H/S aided. Kitchen is one of the parameters for evaluating the smooth functioning of MDM scheme, but 92.11% of

the sample schools are not having the prescribed MDM kitchens during the MI visit in the monitoring period. Again, none of the sample schools have separate storage bins for protecting the food-grains i.e. 100% of the sample schools visited have no such facility for keeping the MDM food-grains safely. As a result the food-grains are kept at the nearby house, cooks house, head teacher house, SMDC or village chief house. The food grains are brought to the schools on MDM meal served days respectively from the stored houses

26.32% of the sample schools visited have adequate utensils for cooking MDM meals and plates for serving the MDM meals to the students, while 73.68% of the sample schools visited have both inadequate utensils for cooking MDM meals and serving plates, glasses for MDM purposes. The sample schools under this category are - M. Bongmol JB/S, Lamdan Kuki P/S, Sadhu Khoiroi P/S (ADC), Tingkeu Jr H/S, Bongmol UP/S, Dongjang Govt JB/S, Keirap Khullen P/S, Pantha Aided P/S, Rani Gaidinliu Jr. H/S Aided, Khumjamba Jr H/S, Sialna JB/S ADC, Saichang LP/S, Gamnom P/S, Charoi Khullen Govt JB/S, Ritinchung Aided Jr. H/S, Sangaikot JB/S, M.B. Saichang JB/S (ADC), Tuining Govt. Jr H/S, Chingphei Jr. H/S, S. Geltui P/S, Luihoimaul cham P/S, Zomi Zion LP/S, Belpaun P/S, Singnhat Standard H/S aided, Salbung P/S, K. Salbung P/S, C. Mollou P/S and Zalenphai UP/S. None of the sample schools are possessing fire extinguishers in the schools and reported that they have not received fire extinguishers from the concerned authorities. 100% of the sample schools visited implementing MDM scheme in Churachandpur district, used fire-wood as cooking fuel for preparing MDM meals.

Table 1.10 Safety and Hygiene

Safety and Hygiene		N=38	%
Hygienic Toilet	Available	-	-
	Not available	38	100
Hygienic Hand washed	Available	38	100
facilities	Not available	-	-
Safety School Campus	Safety	-	-
	Not safety	38	100
Mode of washing utensils	Cook	38	100
	Self-Service	-	-

Table 1.10 reveals the information about the safety and hygiene of the sample schools. None of the sample schools visited maintained the cleanliness of the toilets. 100% of the sample schools visited encouraged the children to wash their hands before and after

taking MDM meals. But none of the sample schools properly maintained the washing basin with running tap water. All the sample schools have adopted the practice to clean the served utensils by the cooks. 100% of the sample schools did not provide safety school campus with a congenial environment and also these schools did not have fencing or wall for the safety of the students.

Table 1.11 Community participation, Inspection, Supervision and Documents during MDM

Information		N=38	%
	Yes	-	
Community Participation	No	38	100
	Occasionally	-	
MDM Inspection Register,	Yes	-	-
Documents	No	38	100
MDM inspection	Yes	-	-
During Monitoring period	No	38	100

Table 1.11 reveals community participation, inspection and supervision of MDM during monitoring period. 100% of the sample schools visited have no community, SMC/VEC member participation in the actual implementation of MDM scheme. During the monitoring period, none of the sample schools maintained a separate MDM inspection register, although there is an instruction from GOI for maintaining a separate register of it. None of the sample schools were inspected on MDM scheme by the concerned authorities from different levels. The monitoring team also appraised the school authorities to update the MDM Documents at the time of issuing food-grains from the concerned district authorities. All the sample schools visited have not maintained and updated the MDM Attendance Register, Stock Register, Cash Book, Cook's Honorarium Register, etc. None of the sample schools visited opened a separate MDM Bank Account for smooth accounting of MDM scheme during the monitoring period and no cases of auditing by the SMC/VEC/ Departmental/School was also available by the monitoring team.

Table 1.12 Impact of Mid-Day-Meal (MDM)

MDM Impact	N=38	%
Improved the Enrolment and attendance	38	100
Nutritional Status of the children	-	-

Table 1.12 reveals that 100% of the 38 (thirty-eight) sample schools visited have the benefits of improvement of the enrolment and attendance of the students.

2. Monitoring of centralized kitchens

In the sample district, there is no provision for centralized kitchen

3. Issues relevant to implementation of MDM scheme

In the sample district, the teachers of the sample schools visited have given their views for better implementation of the MDM scheme as follows:

- a) Regular supply of food-grains.
- b) Regular payment of cook's honorarium and increase of the cooking costs.
- c) Building of Spacious Kitchen shed-cum store-rooms
- d) Use of LPG or Air Pump Cooking Gas (APCG) for better implementation of MDM

MID-DAY MEAL (MDM) PROGRAMME

THOUBAL DISTRICT

The Analytical Report on the following aspects of the programme implementation based on the empirical evidence relating to the indicators are given below: -

1. AT THE SCHOOL LEVEL

Table 1.1 Availability of food-grains:

Availability		N=40	%
No Buffer stock		40	100
Delay delivering	< 3 months	12	30
	No delay	24	60
	No response	4	10
Lifting Agency	By ZEO	40	100
	By SMC/HM	-	-

Table 1.1 reveals that 100% of the 40 (forty) sample schools visited have no buffer stock for Mid-Day Meal (MDM), 30% of the sample schools visited have the regular experience of delay in delivering the food-grains less than 3 (three) months. The sample schools under this group are - Abdual Ali Madrasa H/S, Chaobok Arapti Govt. Jr. H/S, Heirok Hr. Sec School, Khangabok H/S, Kshetri Leikai P/S, Phundrie H/S, Sapam P/S, Waithou Mapal Jr. H/S, Wangjing Hodamba Jr. H/S, Wangkhem Jr. H/S, Kshetri Leikai H/S and Waithou P/S. 60.00% of the sample schools visited received food-grains timely from the concerned authorities during the monitoring period. While 10.00% of the sample schools gave no response about the delay of food-grains at the time of receiving it from the concerned authorities. The schools are - Chingtham Maning P/S, Heirok H/S, Lamding H/S and Yumbimacha H/S. 100% of the sample schools visited received the food-grains which are delivered at the door steps of the schools by the Zonal Education Office, Thoubal.

Table 1.2 Timely Release of funds and cooking costs:

Mode of funds	Released	N=40	%
Mode of Funds release	Delay	40	100
	No delay	-	-
Mode of Cooking Costs	Delay	40	100
released	No delay	-	1

Table 1.2 reveals that none of the sample schools visited received funds and cooking costs timely during the monitoring period,

Table 1.3 Availability of cook-cum-helpers

Information of Cook-cum-helpers		N=40	%
Single cook-cum-helper		13	32.50
Two cook-cum-helpe	ers	16	40.00
> two Cook-cum-hel	pers	11	27.50
Total Cook-cum-help	pers	86	-
Male cooks		4	4.65
Female cooks		82	95.35
Category	GEN	11	27.50
	OBC	21	52.50
	SC	5	12.50
	Others	3	7.50
Engagement of	Department	1	2.50
Cook-cum-	SMC/VEC	25	62.50
helpers on the	MLA	6	15.00
recommendation	Head Master	3	7.50
of:	No Response	5	12.50

Table 1.3 reveals that 32.50% of the sample schools visited have single cook-cumhelper. The schools are - Kshetri Leikai P/S, Waithou Mapal Jr. H/S, Kshetri Leikai H/S, Chingtham Maning P/S, Yumbimacha H/S, Hiyanglam Mayai Ibetombi P/S, Kakching Makha H/S, Laimanai P/S, Lamjao Tezpur P/S, Langmeidong Hr. Sec School, Langmeidong P/S, Thoubal Wangmataba Manisang UP/S and Yangbi H/S. Again, 40.00% of the sample schools have two cook-cum-helpers. While 27.50% of the sample schools visited have more than 2 (two) cook-cum-helpers. The sample schools are - Abdual Ali Madrasa H/S, Chaobok Arapti Govt. Jr. H/S, Khangabok H/S, Arong High School, Kakching Khunou Govt H/S, Tokpaching P/S, Wabgai H/S, Phundrie H/S, Heirok Chingdompok Jr H/S, Heirok H/S, and Heirok Hr. Sec School. Among the 40 (forty) sample schools visited, there are 86 (eighty six) cook-cum-helpers, out of which 4.65% are male cook-cum-helpers and 95.35% are female cook-cum-helpers. The sample school having male cook-cum-helpers is Heirok Hr. Sec School. But, engagements of Male cook-cum-helpers are against the norm of GOI. 27.50% of the cooks are from GEN category, 52.50% from OBC, 12.50% from SC and 7.50% from other categories including Meetei-Muslim.

2.50% of the sample school has cook-cum-helpers engaged after obtaining approval from the department, i.e. Hiyanglam Turelmanbi P/S. 62.50% of the sample schools have

cook-cum-helpers engaged by the SMC. 15% of the sample schools have cook-cum-helpers engaged on the recommendation of Local MLA. The schools are - Hiyanglam Mayai Ibetombi P/S, Waithou P/S, Wabagai Awang Leikai P/S, Kakching Khunou P/S and Kakching Khunou Govt H/S.

But, 7.50 % of the sample schools visited have cook-cum-helpers engaged by the head master, i.e. Khangabok H/S, Wangkhem Jr. H/S and Thongam Mondum UP/S. 12.50% of the sample schools head teachers gave no responses regarding the mode of engagement of cook-cum-helpers in their schools. Such no response phenomena create mistrust on the proper functioning and implementing of MDM scheme in those sample schools. The sample schools are - Langmeidong Hr. Sec School, Heirok Hr. Sec School, Heirok Chingdompok Jr H/S, Yumbimacha H/S and Heirok H/S. In all the sample schools visited, the cook-cum-helpers have no training in any activity given by the concerned authorities till the monitoring period concerning MDM scheme and also no health-checkup of the cook-cum-helpers. It is also confirmed that there is irregular payment of cook-cum-helpers' honorarium and no proper maintenance of Cooks' Honorarium Register.

Table 1.4 Regularity in Serving Meal in a month

No. of Days MDM meal served	N=40	%
< 10 days served	5	12.50
10-15 days served	28	70.00
Above 15 days served	6	15.00
No response	1	2.50

Table 1.4 reveals 12.50% of the sample schools visited served MDM meals for less than 10 days in a month. The sample schools are – Chingtham Maning P/S, Abdual Ali Madrasa H/S, Tokpaching P/S, Wangjing Hodamba Jr. H/S and Kakching Makha H/S. But, 70% of the sample schools visited served MDM meals for 10-15 days in a month, whereas 15% of sample schools visited served MDM meals for more 15 days in a month. The sample schools under this category are - Waithou P/S, Thoubal Wangmataba Manisang UP/S, Lamding H/S, Phundrie H/S, Wangjing H/S and Waithou Mapal Jr. H/S. But, the head teachers of Heirok H/S has given no response regarding the MDM served days in a month.

Table 1.5 Quality and Quantity of Meal

Information		N=40	%
Quality	Good	36	90.00
	Average	4	10.00
	Poor	-	-
Quantity	Adequate	-	-
	Inadequate	40	100

Table 1.5 reveals that 90% of the sample schools received good quality food-grains from the concerned authorities for serving MDM during the monitoring period and 10% of the sample school visited received average quality food-grains from the concerned authorities for serving MDM. Again, 100% of the sample schools visited reported that the food-grains received by the schools authorities were inadequate. Monitoring team also found the reasons for such inadequate quantity of food-grains supply to the schools were because of their calculation of number of students based on previous year enrolled students' strength which are comparatively lower than the current year students' enrollment. None of the sample schools have properly recorded and maintained the quantities of the food-grains received from the concerned district authorities and documentation of the MDM Yellow Meal Card was also not made by the sample schools.

Table 1.6 Variety of Menu served

Mode of decision taken	N=40	%	
Deciding Authority	Head teacher	22	55.00
	SMC/VEC	1	2.50
	Teaching staff	9	22.50
	Cook	7	17.50
	Students	1	2.50
Display of Menu Chart	Displayed	0	-
	Not Displayed	40	100
Uniformly followed	Followed	0	
menu chart	Not following the menu chart	40	100

Table 1.6 depicts the mode of decision taken for the variety of menu. 55.00% of the sample schools visited decided the menu of MDM by the head teachers, 2.50% of the sample

schools visited decided the menu of MDM by SMC/VEC authorities, i.e. Wabagai Awang Leikai P/S, 22.50% of the sample schools visited decided the menu of MDM by teaching staffs and teachers, i.e. Kakching Makha H/S, Wangkhem Jr. H/S, Kshetri Leikai P/S, Yumbimacha H/S, Sapam P/S, Kshetri Leikai H/S, Lamjao Tezpur P/S, Hiyanglam Awang P/S and Hiyanglam Turelmanbi P/S. 17.50% of the sample schools visited decided the menu by the cooks of the schools i.e. Abdual Ali Madrasa H/S, Samaram Jr. H/S, Heirok Hr. Sec School, Wangjing H/S, Wabgai H/S, Thongjao P/S and Waithou P/S. But, the head teacher reported that in Elangkhangpokpi Jr. H/S, students decided the menu of MDM meals.

100% of the sample schools visited serving MDM have not displayed MDM weekly menu charts and they are not uniformly following the Menu Chart prescribed by the concerned authorities during the monitoring period. The monitoring team also recorded comments from the SMC/VEC/Head Teachers that they could not follow the menu chart because of the differences in food habits of the learners.

Table 1.7 Trends of variation

Trends		N=40	%
No. of students present	1-50	18	45.00
during MI visit	51-100	1	2.50
	Above 100	3	7.50
	No response	18	45.00
MDM served during MI visit	Yes	22	55.00
	No	18	45.00
Display of MDM logo	Yes	-	-
at kitchen	No	40	100

Table 1.7 reveals that 45% of the sample schools visited have 1-50 students present during MI visit. But, Chingtham Maning P/S, Thoubal Wangmataba Manisang UP/S, Kshetri Leikai H/S, Wabagai Awang Leikai P/S, Kshetri Leikai P/S and Chaobok Arapti Govt. Jr. H/S have learners less than 10 (ten) on the day of MI visit. 2.50% of the sample schools visited have 51-100 students present during MI visit, i.e. Wangkhem Jr. H/S. 7.50% of the sample schools visited have students above 100 present during MI visit, i.e. Khangabok H/S, Arong High School and Phundrie H/S. 45% of the head teachers of the sample schools have given no responses regarding the number of students presents on the day of MI visit. 55% of the sample schools visited served the MDM meals on the day of MI visit due to coincided with

harvesting holidays. The schools are – Heirok Hr. Sec School, Lamding H/S, Wangjing H/S, Heirok H/S, Hiyanglam Mayai Ibetombi P/S, Langmeidong P/S, Yangbi H/S, Hawairou P/S, Waikhong P/S, Langmeidong Hr. Sec School, Wabgai H/S, Thongjao P/S, Kakching Makha H/S, Yumbimacha H/S, Sapam P/S, Lamjao Tezpur P/S, Hiyanglam Awang P/S and Elangkhangpokpi Jr.H/S. None of the sample schools displayed the MDM logo at the kitchen during the monitoring period. There is a need of orientation for the head teachers /SMC/VEC members regarding the display of MDM logo and the Weekly Menu Chart at appropriate places after supplying them from the concerned authorities.

Table 1.8 Social Equity

Social Equity		N=40	%	
Serving and Seating	Floor	22	55.00	
arrangement for eating	Bench and Desk	15	37.50	
	Both	3	7.50	
Discrimination	Yes	-	-	
	No	40	100	
School Health Programme	Maintained	-	-	
	Not Maintained	40	100	
	Not Updated	-	-	
Drinking Water	Yes	40	100	
	No	-	-	
Sanitation Programme	Yes	-	-	
	No	40	100	
Other Schemes	Yes	-	-	
	No	40	100	

Table 1.8 reveals that 55% of the sample schools visited served the MDM on floor arrangements for eating purposes, 37.50% of the sample schools visited served meals on bench-desk arrangements for the same purposes. While 7.50% of the sample schools served meals on floor and bench-desk according to the choice of the learners. The sample schools are - Kshetri Leikai H/S, Khangabok H/S and Elangkhangpokpi Jr.H/S. All the sample schools have provided drinking water facilities to the school, but the monitoring team could not guarantee that the provided drinking water are safe for health and none of the concerned authorities certified these drinking water are fit and safe for drinking of the school children. There is no discrimination amongst the students of the sample schools during sitting and eating MDM meals. None of the sample schools visited maintained School Health Cards,

proper sanitation programme as well as other schemes from Local Area Development fund of MLA or departmental schemes.

Table 1.9 Availability of MDM Infrastructure

Infrastructure		N=40	%
Present trends of	No kitchen	20	50.00
Kitchen	Kuccha Kitchen	13	32.50
	Pucca kitchen and NBM Kitchen	7	17.50
Storage bin	Yes	-	-
	No	40	100.00
Cooking Utensils	Adequate	27	67.50
	Inadequate	13	32.50
Serving utensils,	Adequate	27	67.50
plates, glasses.	Inadequate	13	32.50
Fire Extinguishers	Yes	30	75.00
	No	10	25.00
Cooking Fuel	Firewood	40	100
	Others	_	-

Table 1.9 reveals that 50% of the sample schools visited are schools without kitchen shed for preparing the MDM meals. The sample schools are - Khangabok H/S, Chaobok Arapti Govt. Jr. H/S, Kakching Khunou P/S, Abdual Ali Madrasa H/S, Kakching Khunou Govt H/S, Heirok Hr. Sec School, Heirok H/S, Langmeidong P/S, Lamjao Tezpur P/S, Waithou P/S, Laimanai P/S, Hiyanglam Turelmanbi P/S, Wangkhem Jr. H/S, Arong High School, Phundrie H/S, Lamding H/S, Wangjing H/S, Thongjao P/S, Sapam P/S, Hiyanglam Awang P/S and Wangjing Hodamba Jr. H/S. It is a big challenge to the concerned authorities that without kitchens how far the MDM scheme will function properly. Among the sample schools, 32.50% of the sample schools have kutcha kitchens for temporary measures, MDM kitchen is one of the parameters for evaluating the smooth functioning of MDM scheme, While 17.50% of the sample schools are having MDM kitchens of the prescribed norms during the MI visit in the monitoring period. The schools are - Thoubal Wangmataba Manisang UP/S, Yumbimacha H/S, Chingtham Maning P/S, Kshetri Leikai P/S, Samaram Jr. H/S and Kakching Makha H/S. Again, most of the sample schools have no separate storage bins for protecting the food-grains safely except Yumbimach H/S. As a result the food-grains are kept at the nearby house, cook house, head teacher, SMDC

members. The food grains are brought to the schools on MDM served days from the stored houses

67.50% of the sample schools visited have adequate utensils for cooking MDM meals and plates for serving the MDM meals to the students, while 32.50% of the sample schools visited have both inadequate utensils for cooking MDM meals and serving plates, glasses for MDM purposes. The sample schools under this category are - Elangkhangpokpi Jr.H/S, Hawairou P/S, Thoubal Wangmataba Manisang UP/S, Samaram Jr. H/S, Abdual Ali Madrasa H/S, Kakching Khunou Govt H/S, Heirok Hr. Sec School, Heirok H/S, Langmeidong P/S, Lamjao Tezpur P/S, Laimanai P/S, Hiyanglam Turelmanbi P/S and Phundrie H/S. 75% of the sample schools visited reported for possessing fire extinguishers in the schools before the monitoring team, while 25% of the sample schools reported that they have not received fire extinguishers from the concerned authorities. The schools are - Waikhong P/S, Kshetri Leikai H/S, Langmeidong Hr. Sec School, Chingtham Maning P/S, Arong High School, Sapam P/S, Thoubal Wangmataba Manisang UP/S, Samaram Jr. H/S, Langmeidong P/S and Phundrie H/S.

100% of the sample schools visited having MDM scheme of the Thoubal district, used fire-wood as cooking fuel for preparing MDM meals.

Table 1.10 Safety and Hygiene

Safety and Hygiene		N=40	%	
Hygienic Toilet	Available	-	-	
	Not available	40	100	
Hygienic Hand washed	Hygienic Hand washed Available		100	
facilities Not available		-	-	
Safety School Campus Safety		10	25	
	Not safety	30	75	
Mode of washing utensils	Cook	40	100	
	Self-Service	-	-	

Table 1.10 reveals the information about the safety and hygiene of the sample schools. None of the sample schools visited maintained the cleanliness of the toilets. 100% of the sample schools visited encouraged the children to wash their hands before and after taking MDM meals. But none of the sample schools maintained the washing basin with running tap water. All the sample schools have adopted the practice to clean the used utensils by the cooks. 25% of the sample schools provide safety school campus with a congenial environment. The sample schools are - Yangbi H/S, Elangkhangpokpi Jr.H/S, Kshetri Leikai

H/S, Khangabok H/S, Heirok Hr. Sec School, Lamding H/S, Wangjing H/S, Laimanai P/S, Langmeidong Hr. Sec School and Arong High School. While the remaining 75% of the sample schools did not provide safety school campus and also these schools did not have fencing or wall for the safety of the learners.

Table 1.11 Community participation, Inspection, Supervision and Documents during MDM

Information		N=40	%
	Yes	-	
Community Participation	No	40	100
	Occasionally	-	
MDM Inspection Register,	Yes	-	-
Documents	No	40	100
MDM inspection	Yes	-	-
During Monitoring period	No	40	100

Table 1.11 reveals community participation, inspection and supervision of MDM during monitoring period. 100% of the sample schools visited have no community, SMC/VEC member participation in the implementation of MDM schemes. During the monitoring period, none of the sample schools maintained a separate MDM inspection register, although there is an instruction from GOI for maintaining a separate register for it. None of the sample schools were inspected for MDM scheme by the concerned authorities from different levels. The monitoring team also appraised the school authorities to update the MDM Documents at the time of issuing food-grains from the concerned district authorities. All the sample schools visited have not maintained and updated the MDM Attendance Register, Stock Register, Cash Book, Cook's Honorarium Register, etc. None of the sample schools visited also opened a separate MDM Bank Account for smooth accounting of MDM scheme during the monitoring period and no cases of auditing by the SMC/VEC/ Departmental/School was also available by the monitoring team.

Table 1.12 Impact of Mid-Day-Meal (MDM)

MDM Impact	N=40	%
Improved the Enrolment and attendance	38	100
Nutritional Status of the children	-	-

Table 1.12 reveals that 100% of the 40 (forty) sample schools visited have the benefits of improvement of the enrollment and attendance of the students.

2. Monitoring of centralized kitchens

In the sample district, there is no provision for centralized kitchen

3. Issues relevant to implementation of MDM scheme

In the sample district, teachers of the sample schools visited have given their views for better implementation of the MDM scheme as follows:

- a) Regular supply of food-grains.
- b) Regular payment of cook's honorarium and increase of the cooking costs.
- c) Building of Spacious Kitchen shed-cum store-rooms
- d) Use of LPG or Air Pump Cooking Gas (APCG) for better implementation of MDM

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

MID-DAY-MEAL

DISTRICT 1: CHURACHANDPUR, MANIPUR

1. AT THE SCHOOL LEVEL

38 (thirty eight) sample schools visited have no buffer stock for Mid-Day Meal (MDM), 1 (one) sample schools visited has the experience of delay in delivering the foodgrains less than 3 (three) months and 34 of the sample schools visited received food-grains timely from the concerned authorities during the monitoring period. 3 sample schools which gave no responses about the delay of food-grains at the time of receiving it from the concerned authorities are - Charoi Khullen Govt JB/S, Gamnom P/S and P.Sejol Govt JB/S. 30 of the sample schools visited received the food-grains which are delivered at the door steps of the schools by the Zonal Education Office, while 8 of the head teachers of sample schools visited reported that they collected the food grains from nearby block or collection centres. The schools are - Charoi Khullen Govt JB/S, Gamnom P/S, P.Sejol Govt JB/S, Belpaun P/S, Luihoimau kham P/S, M. Bongmol JB/S, Pantha Aided P/S and Zalenphai UP/S.

2 (two) sample schools visited experienced 3 (three) months delay in releasing funds and cooking costs to the schools during the monitoring period, i.e. Dongjang Govt JB/S and V. Munlai P/S. While 36 sample schools visited received funds and cooking costs to the schools timely during the monitoring period,

10 sample schools visited have single cook-cum-helper. The schools are - Belpaun P/S, Luihoimaul cham P/S, Zalenphai UP/S, K. Salbung P/S, Louchulbung LP/S, S. Geltui P/S, Salbung P/S, Lamdan P/S (ADC), Zomi Zion LP/S and Saichang LP/S. Again, 20 sample schools have two cook-cum-helpers. While 8 sample schools visited have more than 2 (two) cook-cum-helpers. The sample schools are - Dongjang Govt JB/S, Vumhao Govt H/S, Rani Gaidinliu Jr. H/S Aided, Ritinchung Aided Jr. H/S, Singnhat Standard H/S (Aided), V. Munlai P/S, Chingphei Jr. H/S and M.B. Saichang JB/S (ADC). Among the 38 (thirty-eight) sample schools visited, there are 75 (seventy five) cook-cum-helpers, out of which 3 are male cook-cum-helpers and 72 are female cook-cum-helpers. The sample schools having male cook-cum-helpers are - V. Munlai P/S, Chingphei Jr. H/S and M.B. Saichang JB/S (ADC) and the male cooks were engaged by SMC after obtaining approval from ZEO. But, engagements of Male cook-cum-helpers are against the norm of GOI. All the sample schools visited have ST category cook-cum-helpers. In all the sample schools visited, the cook-cum-helpers have no training in any activity given by the concerned authorities till the monitoring period regarding MDM scheme and also no health-checkup of the cook-cumhelpers. It is also confirmed that there is irregular payment of cook-cum-helpers' honorarium and no proper maintenance of Cooks' Honorarium Registers.

8 sample schools visited served MDM meals for a single day in a month. The sample schools are - V. Munlai P/S, Sangaikot JB/S, Zalenphai UP/S, Gamnom P/S, Tingkeu Jr H/S, Keirap Khullen P/S, M.B. Saichang JB/S (ADC) and P.Sejol Govt JB/S. 4 sample schools visited served MDM meals for 2 days in a month, whereas 10 of sample schools visited

served MDM meals for 3-5 days in a month. But, 9 sample schools visited served MDM meals for 6-10 days in a month. While 7 sample schools served MDM meals for more than 10 days in a month. The sample schools under this category are - Rani Gaidinliu Jr. H/S (Aided), Charoi Khullen Govt JB/S, Khumjamba Jr H/S, Thaenjang JB/S, Ritinchung Aided Jr. H/S, Chingphei Jr. H/S and Louchulbung LP/S.

30 sample schools received good quality food-grains from the concerned authorities for serving MDM during the monitoring period and 6 of the sample school visited received average quality food-grains from the concerned authorities for serving MDM. But, 2 sample schools visited received poor quality food-grains from the concerned authorities during the monitoring period, i.e. S. Geltui P/S and Tangpijol JB/S. Again, 38 sample schools visited reported that the food-grains received by the schools authorities were inadequate. Monitoring team also found the reasons for such inadequate quantity of food-grains supply to the schools were because of their calculation of number of students based on previous year enrolled students' strength which are comparatively lower than the current year students' enrollment. None of the sample schools have properly recorded and maintained accounts of the quantities of the food-grains received from the concerned district authorities. Documentation of the MDM Yellow Meal Card was also not made by the sample schools.

18 sample schools visited decided the menu of MDM by the head teachers, 9 sample schools visited decided the menu of MDM by SMC/VEC authorities, 3 sample schools visited decided the menu of MDM by staffs or teachers, i.e. M.B. Saichang JB/S (ADC), Tuining Govt. Jr H/S and M. Bongmol JB/S. 3 sample schools visited decided the menu by the cooks of the schools i.e. Keirap Khullen P/S, P.Sejol Govt JB/S, Pantha Aided P/S, Dongjang Govt JB/S, Bongmol UP/S, Zomi Zion LP/S, Sadhu Khoiroi P/S (ADC) and Louchulbung LP/S. 38 sample schools visited serving MDM have not displayed MDM weekly menu charts and they are not uniformly following the Menu Chart prescribed by the concerned authorities during the monitoring period. The monitoring team also recorded comments from the SMC/VEC/Head Teachers that they could not follow the menu chart because of the differences in food habits of the learners.

14 sample schools visited have 1-50 students present during MI visit. But, Charoi Khullen Govt JB/S, Khumjamba Jr H/S, Louchulbung LP/S, Lamdan P/S (ADC) and S. Geltui P/S have learners less than 10 (ten) on the day of MI visit. 1 (one) sample school visited has 51-100 students present during MI visit, i.e. Tuining Govt. Jr H/S. 4 sample schools visited have students above 100 present during MI visit, i.e. Sangaikot JB/S, Vumhao Govt H/S, Singnhat Standard H/S (aided) and M.B. Saichang JB/S (ADC). 19 sample schools have no students present on the day of MI visit. The reason for no student present on the day of M.I. team visit is due to the fact that the monitoring period happened to be harvesting holidays. 19 sample schools visited served the MDM meals on the day of MI visit. While, 19 sample schools had not served MDM meals on the day of MI visit due to harvesting holidays. The schools are – Keirap Khullen P/S, Pantha Aided P/S, Zomi Zion LP/S, Sadhu Khoiroi P/S (ADC), Tingkeu Jr H/S, Seilal JB/S, Sialna JB/S ADC, K. Salbung P/S, Hengken JB/S, Rani Gaidinliu Jr. H/S Aided, Thaenjang JB/S, Ritinchung Aided Jr. H/S, Tangpijol JB/S, V. Munlai P/S, Gamnom P/S, Belpaun P/S, Lamdan Kuki P/S, Saichang LP/S and Zalenphai UP/S. None of the sample schools displayed the MDM logo at the kitchen during the

monitoring period. There is a need of orientation for the head teachers /SMC/VEC members regarding the display of MDM logo and the Weekly Menu Chart at appropriate places after supplying them from the concerned authorities.

19 sample schools visited served the MDM on floor arrangements for eating purposes, 16 sample schools visited served meals on bench-desk arrangements for eating purposes. 3 sample schools served meals on floor and bench-desk according to the choice of the learners. The sample schools under such category are - Charoi Khullen Govt JB/S, Tingkeu Jr H/S and Ritinchung Aided Jr. H/S. All the sample schools have been provided with drinking water facilities to the school, but the monitoring team could not guarantee that the provided drinking water are safe for health and none of the concerned authorities had certified these drinking water are fit and safe for drinking to the school children. There is no discrimination amongst the students of the sample schools during the time of sitting and eating MDM meals. None of the sample schools visited maintained School Health Cards, Proper sanitation programme as well as other schemes from local area development fund of MLA or departmental schemes.

35 sample schools visited are schools without kitchen sheds for preparing the MDM meals. It is a big challenge to the concerned authorities that without kitchens how far the MDM scheme will have to function properly. There is a need for special inquiry into these matters jointly by the concerned state and central authorities for timely intervention. Among the sample schools, 3 sample schools have kutcha kitchens for temporary measures. The sample schools are - Charoi Khullen Govt JB/S, Lamdan P/S (ADC) and Singnhat Standard H/S aided. Kitchen is one of the parameters for evaluating the smooth functioning of MDM scheme, but 35 sample schools are not having the prescribed MDM kitchens during the MI visit in the monitoring period. Again, none of the sample schools have separate storage bins for protecting the food-grains i.e. 38 sample schools visited have no such facility for keeping the MDM food-grains safely. As a result the food-grains are kept at the nearby house, cooks house, head teacher house, SMDC or village chief houses. The food grains are brought to the schools on MDM meal served days respectively from the stored houses

10 sample schools visited have adequate utensils for cooking MDM meals and plates for serving the MDM meals to the students, while 28 sample schools visited have both inadequate utensils for cooking MDM meals and serving plates, glasses for MDM purposes. The sample schools under this category are - M. Bongmol JB/S, Lamdan Kuki P/S, Sadhu Khoiroi P/S (ADC), Tingkeu Jr H/S, Bongmol UP/S, Dongjang Govt JB/S, Keirap Khullen P/S, Pantha Aided P/S, Rani Gaidinliu Jr. H/S Aided, Khumjamba Jr H/S, Sialna JB/S ADC, Saichang LP/S, Gamnom P/S, Charoi Khullen Govt JB/S, Ritinchung Aided Jr. H/S, Sangaikot JB/S, M.B. Saichang JB/S (ADC), Tuining Govt. Jr H/S, Chingphei Jr. H/S, S. Geltui P/S, Luihoimaul cham P/S, Zomi Zion LP/S, Belpaun P/S, Singnhat Standard H/S aided, Salbung P/S, K. Salbung P/S, C. Mollou P/S and Zalenphai UP/S. None of the sample schools are possessing fire extinguishers in the schools and reported that they have not received fire extinguishers from the concerned authorities. 38 sample schools visited

implementing MDM scheme in Churachandpur district, used fire-wood as cooking fuel for preparing MDM meals.

None of the sample schools visited maintained the cleanliness of the toilets. 38 sample schools visited encouraged the children to wash their hands before and after taking MDM meals. But none of the sample schools properly maintained the washing basin with running tap water. All the sample schools have adopted the practice to clean the served utensils by the cooks. 38 of the sample schools did not provide safety school campus with a congenial environment and also these schools did not have fencing or wall for the safety of the students.

About community participation, inspection and supervision of MDM during monitoring period, 38 sample schools visited have no community, SMC/VEC member participation in the actual implementation of MDM scheme. During the monitoring period, none of the sample schools maintained a separate MDM inspection register, although there is an instruction from GOI for maintaining a separate register of it. None of the sample schools were inspected on MDM scheme by the concerned authorities from different levels. The monitoring team also appraised the school authorities to update the MDM Documents at the time of issuing food-grains from the concerned district authorities. All the sample schools visited have not maintained and updated the MDM Attendance Register, Stock Register, Cash Book, Cook's Honorarium Register, etc. None of the sample schools visited opened a separate MDM Bank Account for smooth accounting of MDM scheme during the monitoring period and no cases of auditing by the SMC/VEC/ Departmental/School was also available by the monitoring team. 38 (thirty-eight) sample schools visited have the benefits of improvement of the enrolment and attendance of the students.

2. Monitoring of centralized kitchens

In the sample district, there is no provision for centralized kitchen

3. Issues relevant to implementation of MDM scheme

In the sample district, head teacher of the sample schools visited have given their views for better implementation of the MDM scheme as follows:

- a) Regular supply of food-grains.
- b) Regular payment of cook's honorarium and increase of the cooking costs.
- c) Building of Spacious Kitchen shed-cum store-rooms
- d) Use of LPG or Air Pump Cooking Gas (APCG) for better implementation of MDM

MID-DAY MEAL (MDM) PROGRAMME

DISTRICT 2: THOUBAL, MANIPUR

1. AT THE SCHOOL LEVEL

40 (forty) sample schools visited have no buffer stock for Mid-Day Meal (MDM), 12 sample schools visited have the regular experience of delay in delivering the food-grains less than 3 (three) months. The sample schools under this group are - Abdual Ali Madrasa H/S, Chaobok Arapti Govt. Jr. H/S, Heirok Hr. Sec School, Khangabok H/S, Kshetri Leikai P/S, Phundrie H/S, Sapam P/S, Waithou Mapal Jr. H/S, Wangjing Hodamba Jr. H/S, Wangkhem Jr. H/S, Kshetri Leikai H/S and Waithou P/S. 24 sample schools visited received food-grains timely from the concerned authorities during the monitoring period. While 10 sample schools gave no response about the delay of food-grains at the time of receiving it from the concerned authorities. The schools are - Chingtham Maning P/S, Heirok H/S, Lamding H/S and Yumbimacha H/S. All the sample schools visited received the food-grains which are delivered at the door steps of the schools by the Zonal Education Office, Thoubal.

None of the sample schools visited received funds and cooking costs timely during the monitoring period, 13 sample schools visited have single cook-cum-helper. The schools are - Kshetri Leikai P/S, Waithou Mapal Jr. H/S, Kshetri Leikai H/S, Chingtham Maning P/S, Yumbimacha H/S, Hiyanglam Mayai Ibetombi P/S, Kakching Makha H/S, Laimanai P/S, Lamjao Tezpur P/S, Langmeidong Hr. Sec School, Langmeidong P/S, Thoubal Wangmataba Manisang UP/S and Yangbi H/S. Again, 16 sample schools have two cook-cum-helpers. While, 11 sample schools visited have more than 2 (two) cook-cum-helpers. The sample schools are - Abdual Ali Madrasa H/S, Chaobok Arapti Govt. Jr. H/S, Khangabok H/S, Arong High School, Kakching Khunou Govt H/S, Tokpaching P/S, Wabgai H/S, Phundrie H/S, Heirok Chingdompok Jr H/S, Heirok H/S, and Heirok Hr. Sec School. Among the 40 (forty) sample schools visited, there are 86 (eighty six) cook-cum-helpers, out of which 4 are male cook-cum-helpers and 82 are female cook-cum-helpers. *The sample school having male cook-cum-helpers is Heirok Hr. Sec School.* But, engagements of Male cook-cum-helpers are against the norm of GOI. 11 cooks are from GEN category, 21 from OBC, 5 from SC and 3 from other categories including Meetei-Muslim.

In all the sample schools visited, the cook-cum-helpers have no training in any activity given by the concerned authorities till the monitoring period concerning MDM scheme and also no health-checkup of the cook-cum-helpers. It is also confirmed that there is irregular payment of cook-cum-helpers' honorarium and no proper maintenance of Cooks' Honorarium Register.

5 sample schools visited served MDM meals for less than 10 days in a month. The sample schools are – Chingtham Maning P/S, Abdual Ali Madrasa H/S, Tokpaching P/S, Wangjing Hodamba Jr. H/S and Kakching Makha H/S. But, 28 sample schools visited served MDM meals for 10-15 days in a month, whereas 6 sample schools visited served MDM meals for more 15 than days in a month. The sample schools under this category are - Waithou P/S, Thoubal Wangmataba Manisang UP/S, Lamding H/S, Phundrie H/S, Wangjing H/S and Waithou Mapal Jr. H/S. But, the head teacher of Heirok H/S has given no response regarding the MDM served days in a month.

36 sample schools received good quality food-grains from the concerned authorities for serving MDM during the monitoring period and 4 sample school visited received average quality food-grains from the concerned authorities for serving MDM. Again, all sample schools visited reported that the food-grains received by the schools authorities were inadequate. Monitoring team also found the reasons for such inadequate quantity of food-grains supply to the schools were because of their calculation of number of students based on previous year enrolled students' strength which are comparatively lower than the current year students' enrollment. None of the sample schools have properly recorded and maintained the quantities of the food-grains received from the concerned district authorities and documentation of the MDM Yellow Meal Card was also not made by the sample schools.

The mode of decision taken for the variety of menu, 22 sample schools visited decided the menu of MDM by the head teachers, 1 (one) sample school visited decided the menu of MDM by SMC/VEC authorities, i.e. Wabagai Awang Leikai P/S, 9 sample schools visited decided the menu of MDM by teaching staffs and teachers, i.e. Kakching Makha H/S, Wangkhem Jr. H/S, Kshetri Leikai P/S, Yumbimacha H/S, Sapam P/S, Kshetri Leikai H/S, Lamjao Tezpur P/S, Hiyanglam Awang P/S and Hiyanglam Turelmanbi P/S. 7 of the sample schools visited decided the menu by the cooks of the schools i.e. Abdual Ali Madrasa H/S, Samaram Jr. H/S, Heirok Hr. Sec School, Wangjing H/S, Wabgai H/S, Thongjao P/S and Waithou P/S. But, the head teacher reported that in Elangkhangpokpi Jr. H/S, students decided the menu of MDM meals.

All the sample schools visited serving MDM have not displayed MDM weekly menu charts and they are not uniformly following the Menu Chart prescribed by the concerned authorities during the monitoring period. The monitoring team also recorded comments from the SMC/VEC/Head Teachers that they could not follow the menu chart because of the differences in food habits of the learners.

18 sample schools visited have 1-50 students present during MI visit. But, Chingtham Maning P/S, Thoubal Wangmataba Manisang UP/S, Kshetri Leikai H/S, Wabagai Awang Leikai P/S, Kshetri Leikai P/S and Chaobok Arapti Govt. Jr. H/S have learners less than 10 (ten) on the day of MI visit. 1 (one) sample school visited has 51-100 students present during MI visit, i.e. Wangkhem Jr. H/S. 3 sample schools visited have students above 100 present during MI visit, i.e. Khangabok H/S, Arong High School and Phundrie H/S. 18 head teachers of the sample schools have given no responses regarding the number of students presents on the day of MI visit. 22 sample schools visited served the MDM meals on the day of MI visit. While, 18 sample schools had not served MDM meals on the day of MI visit due to coincidend with harvesting holidays. The schools are – Heirok Hr. Sec School, Lamding H/S, Wangjing H/S, Heirok H/S, Hiyanglam Mayai Ibetombi P/S, Langmeidong P/S, Yangbi H/S, Hawairou P/S, Waikhong P/S, Langmeidong Hr. Sec School, Wabgai H/S, Thongjao P/S, Kakching Makha H/S, Yumbimacha H/S, Sapam P/S, Lamjao Tezpur P/S, Hiyanglam Awang P/S and Elangkhangpokpi Jr.H/S. None of the sample schools displayed the MDM logo at the kitchen during the monitoring period. There is a need of orientation for the head teachers /SMC/VEC members regarding the display of MDM logo and the Weekly Menu Chart at appropriate places after supplying them from the concerned authorities.

22 sample schools visited served the MDM on floor arrangements for eating purposes, 15 sample schools visited served meals on bench-desk arrangements for the same purposes. While 3 sample schools served meals on floor and bench-desk according to the choice of the learners. The sample schools are - Kshetri Leikai H/S, Khangabok H/S and Elangkhangpokpi Jr.H/S. All the sample schools have provided drinking water facilities to the school, but the monitoring team could not guarantee that the provided drinking water are safe for health and none of the concerned authorities certified these drinking water are fit and safe for drinking of the school children. There is no discrimination amongst the students of the sample schools during sitting and eating MDM meals. None of the sample schools visited maintained School Health Cards, proper sanitation programme as well as other schemes from Local Area Development fund of MLA or departmental schemes.

20 sample schools visited are schools without kitchen shed for preparing the MDM meals. The sample schools are - Khangabok H/S, Chaobok Arapti Govt. Jr. H/S, Kakching Khunou P/S, Abdual Ali Madrasa H/S, Kakching Khunou Govt H/S, Heirok Hr. Sec School, Heirok H/S, Langmeidong P/S, Lamjao Tezpur P/S, Waithou P/S, Laimanai P/S, Hiyanglam Turelmanbi P/S, Wangkhem Jr. H/S, Arong High School, Phundrie H/S, Lamding H/S, Wangjing H/S, Thongjao P/S, Sapam P/S, Hiyanglam Awang P/S and Wangjing Hodamba Jr. H/S. It is a big challenge to the concerned authorities that without kitchens how far the MDM scheme will function properly. Among the sample schools, 13 sample schools have kutcha kitchens for temporary measures, MDM kitchen is one of the parameters for evaluating the smooth functioning of MDM scheme, While 7 sample schools are having MDM kitchens of the prescribed norms during the MI visit in the monitoring period. The schools are - Thoubal Wangmataba Manisang UP/S, Yumbimacha H/S, Chingtham Maning P/S, Kshetri Leikai P/S, Samaram Jr. H/S and Kakching Makha H/S. Again, most of the sample schools have no separate storage bins for protecting the food-grains safely except Yumbimach H/S. As a result the food-grains are kept at the nearby house, cook house, head teacher, SMDC members. The food grains are brought to the schools on MDM served days from the stored houses

27 sample schools visited have adequate utensils for cooking MDM meals and plates for serving the MDM meals to the students, while 13 sample schools visited have both inadequate utensils for cooking MDM meals and serving plates, glasses for MDM purposes. The sample schools under this category are - Elangkhangpokpi Jr.H/S, Hawairou P/S, Thoubal Wangmataba Manisang UP/S, Samaram Jr. H/S, Abdual Ali Madrasa H/S, Kakching Khunou Govt H/S, Heirok Hr. Sec School, Heirok H/S, Langmeidong P/S, Lamjao Tezpur P/S, Laimanai P/S, Hiyanglam Turelmanbi P/S and Phundrie H/S. All the sample schools visited having MDM scheme of the Thoubal district, used fire-wood as cooking fuel for preparing MDM meals.

None of the sample schools visited maintained the cleanliness of the toilets. 40 sample schools visited encouraged the children to wash their hands before and after taking MDM meals. But none of the sample schools maintained the washing basin with running tap water. All the sample schools have adopted the practice to clean the used utensils by the cooks. 10 sample schools provide safety school campus with a congenial environment. The sample

schools are - Yangbi H/S, Elangkhangpokpi Jr.H/S, Kshetri Leikai H/S, Khangabok H/S, Heirok Hr. Sec School, Lamding H/S, Wangjing H/S, Laimanai P/S, Langmeidong Hr. Sec School and Arong High School. While the remaining sample schools did not provide safety school campus and also these schools did not have fencing or wall for the safety of the learners.

All the sample schools visited have no community, SMC/VEC member participation in the implementation of MDM schemes. During the monitoring period, none of the sample schools maintained a separate MDM inspection register, although there is an instruction from GOI for maintaining a separate register for it. None of the sample schools were inspected for MDM scheme by the concerned authorities from different levels. The monitoring team also appraised the school authorities to update the MDM Documents at the time of issuing foodgrains from the concerned district authorities. All the sample schools visited have not maintained and updated the MDM Attendance Register, Stock Register, Cash Book, Cook's Honorarium Register, etc. None of the sample schools visited also opened a separate MDM Bank Account for smooth accounting of MDM scheme during the monitoring period and no cases of auditing by the SMC/VEC/ Departmental/School was also available by the monitoring team.

40 (forty) sample schools visited in Thoubal district have the benefits of improvement of the enrollment and attendance of the students.

2. Monitoring of centralized kitchens


In the sample district, there is no provision for centralized kitchen

3. Issues relevant to implementation of MDM scheme


In the sample district, teacher of the sample schools visited have given their views for better implementation of the MDM scheme as follows:

- a) Regular supply of food-grains.
- b) Regular payment of cook's honorarium and increase of the cooking costs.
- c) Building of Spacious Kitchen shed-cum store-rooms
- d) Use of LPG or Air Pump Cooking Gas (APCG) for better implementation of MDM


OVERALL PERFORMANCE SCORE CARD CHURACHANDPUR MDM DISTRICT




OVERALL PERFORMANCE SCORE CARD MDM THOUBAL DISTRICT




CHARTS GIVING DETAILED INFORMATION ABOUT MDM CHURACHANDPUR DISTRICT



CHARTS GIVING DETAILED INFORMATION ABOUT MDM THOUBAL DISTRICT



CHARTS GIVING COMPARATIVE INFORMATION ABOUT MDM ON CHURACHANDPUR AND THOUBAL DISTRICTS OF MANIPUR



ANNEXURE - I MDM CHURACHANDPUR DISTRICT



Khumujamba Jr HS Kitchen Shed cum store room



P Sejol (Pangsang) Kitchen Shed



Seilal JB School Kitchen Shed



Singnhat Standard HS Cooking MDM



Singnhat Standard HS Cooking Meal



Students Present on MI Visit



Thingkeu Jr. High School MDM yellow Card



Vumhao HS Kitchen

ANNEXURE - II MDM THOUBAL DISTRICT



Classroom Kitchen Arong High School



Classroom Kitchen Kakching Khunou Govt HS



Drinking water Storage at Kakching Khunou Govt HS



Food grain storage at office of Wangjing Hodamba Jr HS



Food Storage at Yangbi High School



Hawairou LP School Kitchen Shed



Heirok Chingdompok Jr HS Food grain storage at office room



Kakching Khunou Govt Primary School MDM Serving on Bench Desk

ANNEXURE - II MDM THOUBAL DISTRICT



NBM 10x10 Kitchen Shed Samaram Jr High School



Nodal officer monitoring MDM served at Laimanai LP School



Phundrei HS Mdm Served



Ready Cook meals at Wangjing High School



Thongam Mondum PS Kitchen shed (1)



Thongam Mondum PS Kitchen shed (2)



Thoubal Wangmataba Manishang PS kitchen Shed

ANNEXURE - III

The following institutions are selected by MI/SSA/MU for the field visit for Monitoring and Supervision of SSA/MDM in the Churachandpur District, Manipur

1 Belpaun P/S Lower Singnhat 2012 - 13-11 2 Bengmol Upper P/S Lower Henglep 2014 14030601002 12-11 3 C.Mollou Lower P/S Lower Henglep 1991 14030603002 12-11	of visit officer visited 1-2014 Visited 1-2014 Visited 1-2014 Visited 1-2014 Visited 1/2014 Visited
SL Name Category Block of Est. DISE CODE Date of Date of Date of Est. 1 Belpaun P/S Lower Singnhat 2012 - 13-11 2 Bengmol Upper P/S Lower Henglep 2014 14030601002 12-11 3 C.Mollou Lower P/S Lower Henglep 1991 14030603002 12-11	visited 1-2014 Visited 1-2014 Visited 1-2014 Visited
1 Belpaun P/S Lower Singnhat 2012 - 13-11 2 Bengmol Upper P/S Lower Henglep 2014 14030601002 12-11 3 C.Mollou Lower P/S Lower Henglep 1991 14030603002 12-11	1-2014 Visited 1-2014 Visited 1-2014 Visited
2 Bengmol Upper P/S Lower Henglep 2014 14030601002 12-11 3 C.Mollou Lower P/S Lower Henglep 1991 14030603002 12-11	1-2014 Visited 1-2014 Visited
3 C.Mollou Lower P/S Lower Henglep 1991 14030603002 12-11	1-2014 Visited
	/2014 Vigited
4 Charoi Khullen JB/S Lower Henglep 1967 14030612502 13/11	1/2014 Visited
5 Chingphei Jr. H/S Upper Henglep 1965 14030603001 12-11	1-2014 Visited
6 Dungjang Govt. JB/S Lower C.C.pur 1956 14030114701 18-11	1-2014 Visited
7 Gamnom P/S Lower C.C. Pur 2008 - 14-11	1-2014 Visited
8 Hengken JB/S Lower C.C.pur - 14030114901 18-11	1-2014 Visited
9 K. Salbung P/S Lower C.C. Pur 2012 - 15-11	1-2014 Visited
10 Keirap Khullen P/S Lower Samulamlan - 14030209001 13-11	1-2014 Visited
11 KGBV Upper Henglep 2011 - 10-11	1-2014 Visited
12 Khumujamba Jr. H/S Upper C.C. Pur 1969 14030110104 22-10	0-2014 Visited
13 Lamdan Kuki P/S Lower Henglep 1968 14030603401 22/11	/2014 Visited
14 Lamdan P/S Lower Henglep 1961 14030614501 18/11	/2014 Visited
15 Louchulbung Lower P/S Lower Samulamlan 1987 14030209601 12-11	1-2014 Visited
16 Luihuimuolcham P/S Lower C.C. pur 2012 - 18-11	1-2014 Visited
17 M. Bongmol JB/S Lower C.C. pur 1957 14030114101 18-11	1-2014 Visited
18 M.B. Saichang JB/S (ADC) Lower C.C. pur 2005 14030113901 18-11	1-2014 Visited
19 P. Sejol Govt. JB/S Lower Henglep 1950 14030614901 12-11	1-2014 Visited
20 Pantha Aided P/S Lower C.C. pur 1980 14030114301 18-11	102014 Visited
21 Rani Gaidinliu Jr. H/S Upper Henglep 1994 14030612202 12-11	1-2014 Visited
22 Residential School Upper C.C. Pur 2013 - 22-10	0-2014 Visited
23 Ritinchung Aided Jr. H/S Upper Henglep - 14030612501 13-11	1-2014 Visited
24 S.Geltui P/S Lower Singnhat 2012 - 13-11	1-2014 Visited
25 Sabual P/S Lower Henglep 11-11	1-2014 Visited
26 Saichang Lower P/S Lower Singnhat - 14030303901 13-11	1-2014 Visited
27 Sangaikot JB/S Lower C.C. pur - 14030115201 18-11	1-2014 Visited
28 Seilal JB/S Lower C.C. Pur - 14030101101 22-10	0-2014 Visited
29 Sialna JBS (ADC) Lower Singnhat - 14030304001 13-11	1-2014 Visited
30 Singnhat Standard H/S (Aided) Upper Singnhat 1973 14030306202 13-11	1-2014 Visited
31 Tangpijol JBS (ADC) Lower Singnhat 1958 14030303701 13-11	1-2014 Visited
	1-2014 Visited
	1-2014 Visited
34 Tuilumjang JB/S (ADC) Lower henglep 1965 14030609901 12-11	1-2014 Visited
	1-2014 Visited
	1-2014 Visited
37 Vumhao Govt. H/S Upper Henglep - 14030614601 10-11	1-2014 Visited
	1-2014 Visited
39 Zomi-zeion LP/S Lower C.C. pur 1991 14030115901 18-11	1-2014 Visited
40 Sadhu Khoiroi P/S (ADC) Lower C.C. pur 18-11	1-2014 Visited

ANNEXURE - IV
The following institutions are selected by MI/SSA/MU for the field visit for monitoring and supervision of SSA/MDM in the Thoubal District, Manipur

CI	<u> </u>				strict, Manipur	Data of	Model
SL	Name	Category	Block	Year of	DISE CODE	Date of	Nodal
				Establish		visit	officer
-1	A1 1 1 A1' N# 1 TY/C	T T	/TI 1 1	1044	1.4050110102	4.0.14	visited
1	Abdual Ali Madrasa H/S	Upper	Thoubal	1944	14050110102	4-8-14	Visited
2	Arong H/S	Upper	Kakching	1968	14050203308	6-9-14	Visited
3	Chaobok Arapti Govt. Jr. H/S	Upper	Thoubal	1953	14050110802	5-8-14	Visited
4	Chingtham Maning P/S	Primary	Thoubal	1954	14050105301	6-8-14	Visited
5	Elangkhangpokpi H/S	Upper	Thoubal	1959	14050203201	8-8-14	Visited
6	Hawairou P/S	Primary	Thoubal	1952	14050200104	7-8-14	Visited
7	Heirok Chingdompok Jr H/S	Upper	Thoubal	1960	14050114501	11-8-14	Visited
8	Heirok H/S	Upper	Thoubal	1959	14050108601	22-8-14	-
9	Heirok Hr. Sec/S	Upper	Thoubal	1954	14050106407	20-8-14	-
10	Hiyanglam Awang P/S	Primary	Kakching	-	14050203102	8-9-14	Visited
	Hiyanglam Mayai Ibetombi P/S	Primary	Kakching	1961	14050203104	11-9-14	Visited
12	Hiyanglam Turelmanbi P/S	Primary	Kakching	1962	14050203105	14-9-14	Visited
13	Kakching Khunou Govt H/S	Upper	Kakching	1958	14050205601	9-9-14	Visited
14	Kakching Khunou P/S	Primary	Kakching	1939	14050205501	16-9-14	Visited
15	Kakching Makha H/S	Upper	Kakching	1961	14050201602	19-9-14	Visited
16	Khangabok H/S	Upper	Thoubal	1955	14050114427	21-8-14	Visited
17	Kshetri Leikai H/S	Upper	Thoubal	1982	14050103105	23-8-14	Visited
18	Kshetri Leikai P/S	Primary	Thoubal	1960	14050103101	26-8-14	Visited
19	Laimanai P/S	Primary	Kakching	1956	14050202601	23-9-14	Visited
20	Lamding H/S	Upper	Thoubal	1958	14050109401	19-8-14	-
21	Lamjao Tezpur P/S	Primary	Kakching	1948	14050202802	20-9-14	Visited
22	Langmeidong Hr. Sec/S	Upper	Kakching	1960	14050202502	10-9-14	Visited
23	Langmeidong P/S	Primary	Kakching	1980	14050202501	12-9-14	Visited
24	Phundrie H/S	Upper	Thoubal	1955	14050104301	25-8-14	Visited
25	Samaram Jr. H/S	Upper	Thoubal	-	14050116901	28-8-14	Visited
26	Sapam P/S	Primary	Thoubal	-	14050104402	29-8-14	Visited
27	Thongam Mondum UP/S	Upper	Kakching	1960	14050205201	15-9-14	Visited
28	Thongjao P/S	Primary	Kakching	1960	14050202901	13-9-14	Visited
29	Thoubal Wangmataba	Upper	Thoubal	1955	14050103002	30-8-14	Visited
	Manisang UP/S						
30	Tokpaching P/S	Primary	Kakching	1958	14050203306	18-9-14	Visited
31	Wabagai Awang Leikai P/S	Primary	Kakching	1961	14050201901	22-9-14	Visited
32	Wabgai H/S	Upper	Kakching	1935	14050201907	24-9-14	Visited
33	Waikhong P/S	Primary	Kakching	1967	14050203001	26-9-14	Visited
34	Waithou Mapal Jr. H/S	Upper	Thoubal	1933	14050102601	16-8-14	Visited
35	Waithou P/S	Primary	Thoubal	-	14050102301	2-9-14	Visited
36	Wangjing H/S	Upper	Thoubal	-	14050104501	1-9-14	Visited
37	Wangjing Hodamba Jr. H/S	Upper	Thoubal	-	14050117301	4-9-14	Visited
38	Wangkhem Jr. H/S	Upper	Thoubal	1960	14050101902	3-9-14	Visited
39	Yangbi H/S	Upper	Kakching	1958	14050201906	27-9-14	Visited
40	Yumbimacha H/S	Upper	Kakching	1956	14050201301	25-9-14	Visited
4.1			TD1 1 1			l ·	X7' '. 1
41	KGBV, Thoubal	Upper	Thoubal	2014	-	25-08-14	Visited
42	Residential School, Thoubal	Upper	Thoubal	2014	-	25-08-14	Visited